

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

APPLICANT(S): Wilfried Blum  
SERIAL NO.: 10798311  
FILING DATE: March 12, 2004  
FOR: Method for Remote Evaluation and Management of  
Vehicular Parts  
ART UNIT: 3689  
EXAMINER: Fisher, Paul R.  
AGENT/ATTORNEY: BENOIT, C. Marc / (514) 658-4844, #210

---

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
U.S.A.

**STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Sir:

In response to the Interview Summary dated June 7, 2011 in connection with the above-identified Application, please consider the following:

**SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW**

Substance of the Interview begins on page 2 of this paper.

**SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW**

A phone interview took place on June 7, 2011. The participants of the Interview were:

1. Paul R. Fisher, Examiner
2. C. Marc Benoît, agent of record

Claims 1 and 44-48 were discussed. Identification of prior art discussed was Joao.

Particularly discussed in this interview:

Applicant's representative discussed recently filed amendments regarding changes to overcome the 101 rejection. The Examiner expressed concern that the steps in the method did not signify a significant tie to a particular machine. For example the amendments clearly show that the user is performing the task using the computer to merely enter the date, store it and then transfer it. The applicant's representative stated further changes would be made to make a more significant tie to the machines. The applicant's representative stated that paragraph 283 in the Joao reference shows that the user must do the creation of data at the central server and not on the local machine. The Examiner pointed to paragraph 286 which showed that the system can enter data directly to the central server or update the central server once all of the information was added. Thus, this passage would read over the claims as currently amended. New claims 44-48, were then discussed, the Examiner stated that these claims would have to be searched. The applicant's representative then stated that further amendments would be likely to further narrow the scope of the invention toward the electronic folder, which the applicant believes is the major distinction from the prior art. The Examiner stated at all new amendments will require further search and consideration before a determination can be made.

Agreement with respect to the claims: N/A.

Respectfully submitted,

Wilfried Blum

By:

/C. Marc Benoît/

C. Marc Benoît

(Reg. 50,200)

Agent of Record

Benoît & Côté, Associés inc.

Tel: (514) 658-4844, #210

Customer number 76753